

Investigation of Quantitative And Qualitative Methods for Risk Assessment in Agricultural Management.

Kristina Pavlova, Stanislav Dimitrov, Kamelia Ivanova
Institute Of Information and Communication Technologies, kristina.pavlova@iict.bas.bg

GOAL OF THE STUDY

This research paper focuses on exploring and synthesizing both quantitative and qualitative methods for risk analysis and assessment, particularly in agricultural site management. Traditionally, risk assessment in agriculture has often relied on historical data and expert opinions, which, while valuable, are not always sufficient to capture complex and interconnected risk factors. The development of modern methodologies offers opportunities for a more precise and multifaceted consideration of risks, integrating both empirical data and subjective assessments.

METHODOLOGY OF THE INVESTIGATION

Agricultural risks can be categorized into five main types (Table 1), each of which presents unique challenges to farmers. These categories of risk do not exist in isolation, but are deeply interrelated and can compound each other. This interconnectedness means that managing one risk in isolation may not be effective and may even create new risks or amplify existing ones in other areas. Therefore, a holistic understanding of the overall risk environment is needed, not just individual risk factors

Table 1. Main types of risks in agriculture

Type of risk	Definition	Examples of sources/occurrences
Production	Directly affects the quantity and quality of output.	Adverse weather (drought, floods, hail), disease, pests, and equipment malfunctions.
Market/ Pricing	Uncertainty about prices and demand for output.	Fluctuations in commodity and raw material prices, changes in consumer preferences, competition, and loss of market access.
Financial	Arises from borrowing, cash flow, and equity building.	Rising interest rates, Limited credit availability, inflation, and inaccurate cash flow estimates.
Institutional/ Right	Related to government actions and regulations	Changes in tax laws, chemical regulations, waste regulations, trade agreements, and lawsuits.
Human/ Personal	Arises from human factors and relationships	Health issues, labor issues, personnel management, divorce, death, and disability.

QUANTITATIVE METHODS FOR RISK ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT IN AGRICULTURE

Quantitative risk analysis uses numerical data to identify and measure the potential risks of a project. Its primary goal is to provide objective assessment, in-depth information, and to support better decision-making, often by translating risk assessments into financial terms.

Advantages: Quantitative provide in-depth information, enabling the production of more realistic targets and forecasts. They transform risk assessments into financial terms, making it easier to prioritize risks and justify investments to stakeholders.

Limitations: Quantitative methods require a significant amount of reliable data, which can be challenging in the agricultural sector. The accuracy of results can be affected by the assumptions made in modelling. They are typically more time-consuming, labor-intensive, and resource-intensive than qualitative methods. If inputs are imprecise, incomplete, or based on erroneous assumptions, even the most sophisticated model will produce misleading results. This strengthens the argument for an integrated approach where qualitative methods can validate or fill data gaps.

QUALITATIVE METHODS FOR AGRICULTURAL RISK ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT.

Qualitative risk analysis focuses on subjective judgment to assess risks. It is particularly useful for understanding complex uncertainties where precise quantitative data are lacking or difficult to obtain. Its primary purpose is to prioritize risks according to likelihood and impact, identify key areas of risk exposure, and improve understanding of the relationship between risks.

Advantages: Qualitative methods are typically faster and require fewer resources to implement. They rely on expert opinion and judgement, allowing the use of a wealth of experience and knowledge in the sector. Qualitative methods also help to identify gaps in the data by highlighting areas where uncertainty exists.

Limitations: They typically lack precision in measurement and can be subjective. Their accuracy depends on the experience and expertise of the assessor. To overcome this, it is critical to develop more rigorous methodologies for structuring expert judgment. This would increase the validity and reliability of qualitative assessments, even without achieving complete numerical precision.

CONCLUSIONS

Risk management is essential for sustainability and profitability in agriculture, which faces many interrelated challenges, including production, market, financial, institutional, and human risks. Both quantitative methods, based on data and objectivity, and qualitative methods, based on expert judgment and context, offer unique advantages and have their limitations. Their synergistic integration provides the most comprehensive and reliable risk assessment, overcoming the individual weaknesses of each approach.

Integrated risk assessment improves decision-making, increases sustainability, and supports the long-term viability of agricultural businesses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research is funded by Project KII-06-H82/3 /06.12.2024: “Modelling and optimisation of risk at agricultural sites” with the Bulgarian National Science Fund.